# PRIVATE WEALTH AND PRIVATE CLIENT REVIEW

**EIGHTH EDITION** 

Editor John Riches

## *ELAWREVIEWS*

© 2019 Law Business Research Ltd

# PRIVATE WEALTH AND PRIVATE CLIENT REVIEW

**EIGHTH EDITION** 

Reproduced with permission from Law Business Research Ltd This article was first published in September 2019 For further information please contact Nick.Barette@thelawreviews.co.uk

Editor John Riches

# **ELAWREVIEWS**

#### PUBLISHER Tom Barnes

#### SENIOR BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT MANAGER Nick Barette

BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT MANAGER Joel Woods

SENIOR ACCOUNT MANAGERS Pere Aspinall, Jack Bagnall

ACCOUNT MANAGERS Olivia Budd, Katie Hodgetts, Reece Whelan

PRODUCT MARKETING EXECUTIVE Rebecca Mogridge

> RESEARCH LEAD Kieran Hansen

EDITORIAL COORDINATOR Gavin Jordan

HEAD OF PRODUCTION Adam Myers

PRODUCTION EDITOR Claire Ancell

> SUBEDITOR Neil Fanning

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER Nick Brailey

Published in the United Kingdom by Law Business Research Ltd, London Meridian House, 34-35 Farringdon Street, London, EC4A 4HL, UK © 2019 Law Business Research Ltd www.TheLawReviews.co.uk

No photocopying: copyright licences do not apply.

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation, nor does it necessarily represent the views of authors' firms or their clients. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. The publishers accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. Although the information provided was accurate as at August 2019, be advised that this is a developing area. Enquiries concerning reproduction should be sent to Law Business Research, at the address above. Enquiries concerning editorial content should be directed to the Publisher – tom.barnes@lbresearch.com

ISBN 978-1-83862-056-1

Printed in Great Britain by Encompass Print Solutions, Derbyshire Tel: 0844 2480 112

© 2019 Law Business Research Ltd

# CONTENTS

PREFACE	vii
John Riches	
Chapter 1	EU DEVELOPMENTS1
	Richard Frimston and Christopher Salomons
Chapter 2	THE FOREIGN ACCOUNT TAX COMPLIANCE ACT12
	Toni Ann Kruse and Michael D Shapiro
Chapter 3	MODERN TRUST DESIGN
	Todd D Mayo
Chapter 4	NOTES ON THE TAXATION
	OF WORKS OF ART IN THE UNITED KINGDOM
	Ruth Cornett
Chapter 5	OECD DEVELOPMENTS
	Emily Deane
Chapter 6	SUPERYACHT OWNERSHIP – SOME KEY LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS60
	Mark Needham and Justin Turner
Chapter 7	ARGENTINA
	Miguel María Silveyra, Valeria Kemerer and Enrique López Rivarola
Chapter 8	AUSTRIA
	Paul Doralt and Katharina Binder
Chapter 9	BAHAMAS
	Earl A Cash and Nia G Rolle
Chapter 10	BELGIUM
	Alain Nijs and Joris Draye

Chapter 11	BERMUDA112
	Alec R Anderson and Stephanie C Bernard
Chapter 12	BRAZIL124
	Silvania Tognetti
Chapter 13	CANADA
	Margaret R O'Sullivan
Chapter 14	CHILE157
	Pablo Chechilnitzky R
Chapter 15	CYPRUS
	Elias Neocleous and Elina Kollatou
Chapter 16	FINLAND179
	Johan Hägerström and Stefan Stellato
Chapter 17	FRANCE
	Line-Alexa Glotin
Chapter 18	GERMANY198
	Andreas Richter and Katharina Hemmen
Chapter 19	GIBRALTAR
	Peter Montegriffo QC
Chapter 20	GREECE
	Aspasia Malliou and Maria Kilatou
Chapter 21	GUERNSEY
	Keith Corbin, Mark Biddlecombe and Rachael Sanders
Chapter 22	HONG KONG
	Ian Devereux and Silvia On
Chapter 23	HUNGARY251
	Janos Pasztor
Chapter 24	ISLE OF MAN
	Craig Brown

Chapter 25	ITALY	
	Nicola Saccardo	
Chapter 26	JAPAN	
	Masayuki Fukuda and Yushi Hegawa	
Chapter 27	LIECHTENSTEIN	
	Markus Summer and Hasan Inetas	
Chapter 28	LUXEMBOURG	
	Simone Retter	
Chapter 29	MALAYSIA	
	DP Naban, S Saravana Kumar and Ng Kar Ngai	
Chapter 30	MEXICO	
	Edgar Klee Müdespacher and Joel González Lopez	
Chapter 31	NEW ZEALAND	
	Geoffrey Cone and Claudia Shan	
Chapter 32	NIGERIA	
	Akhigbe Oserogho, Osasere Osazuwa, Hokaha Bassey, Temidayo Adewoye	
	and Ikechukwu Precious Nwakanma	
Chapter 33	POLAND	
	Sławomir Łuczak and Karolina Gotfryd	
Chapter 34	PORTUGAL	
	José Pedroso de Melo	
Chapter 35	SWITZERLAND	
	Frédéric Neukomm, Heini Rüdisühli and Alexandra Hirt	
Chapter 36	UNITED KINGDOM	411
	Christopher Groves	
Chapter 37	UNITED STATES	
	Basil Zirinis, Katherine DeMamiel, Elizabeth Kubanik and Susan Song	
Appendix 1	ABOUT THE AUTHORS	
Appendix 2	CONTRIBUTORS' CONTACT DETAILS	

Chapter 18

### GERMANY

Andreas Richter and Katharina Hemmen<sup>1</sup>

#### I INTRODUCTION

Private wealth and private client law in Germany is characterised by a high number of tax and legal regulations on the one hand and a high level of judicial review on the other. Not only the civil and finance courts, but also the state and federal constitutional courts, ensure the consistent and proportionate application of German civil and tax law.

In recent decades, private wealth and family-owned enterprises have been growing. Accordingly, private wealth and private client law in Germany primarily deals with individuals living in Germany and German family-owned companies structuring assets in Germany and other jurisdictions.

#### II TAX

#### i Introduction

Unlimited tax liability in Germany is determined by the concept of residence for both income tax and inheritance and gift tax purposes. Residence is assessed using objective criteria. An individual is a German resident if he or she has either a permanent home or a habitual abode in Germany. The resident individual's worldwide income or assets are subject to income tax, as well as inheritance and gift tax. The concept of domicile, however, is not recognised by German law.

With regard to income tax, there is a progressive tax rate ranging from 14 to 45 per cent. Currently, an additional solidarity surcharge of 5.5 per cent of the tax due is still being levied. This surcharge was intended to finance the German reunification of 1990. Recently, the government decided on the gradual abolition of the surcharge, with the aim of having it completely abolished by 2021; however, high-income earners will not benefit from this tax relief. As mentioned, income tax is levied on the worldwide income of residents. Non-residents pay tax on income from German sources (e.g., income effectively connected with a permanent establishment in Germany, income from employment in Germany (including self-employment), income from German real estate or dividends and capital gains from German companies in cases of a substantial shareholding). Non-residents (e.g., dividends) is subject to withholding tax at a flat rate of 25 per cent plus the solidarity surcharge. A tax treaty may allow a partial refund.

<sup>1</sup> 

Andreas Richter is a partner and Katharina Hemmen is a counsel at P+P Pöllath + Partners.

Concerning inheritance and gift tax, each successor or donee (hereinafter both referred to as transferee) is liable for the tax on the value of the assets received, regardless of his or her personal wealth. The inheritance and gift tax rates range from 7 to 50 per cent, depending on the relationship between the deceased or donor (hereinafter both referred to as transferor) and the transferee, and on the value of the assets received. Spouses and descendants pay inheritance and gift tax at a rate of 7 to 30 per cent. Spouses receive a personal allowance of €500,000 and a maintenance allowance of up to a maximum of €256,000. Children receive a personal allowance of up to €52,000; grandchildren receive a personal allowance of €200,000. Transfers between most other relatives are taxed at a rate of 15 to 43 per cent. Between unrelated persons, the applicable tax rate is 30 or 50 per cent (for a transfer of more than €6 million).

Unlimited tax liability is triggered if either the transferor or the transferee is resident in Germany, regardless of whether the assets received are effectively connected to Germany. If neither the transferor nor the transferee is resident, inheritance and gift tax is only due on certain assets situated in Germany (e.g., real estate and business property). The transfer of a German bank account between non-residents generally does not trigger inheritance or gift tax.

Besides income tax and inheritance and gift tax, only a few other taxes are relevant for private clients. A real estate transfer tax with different regional rates ranging from 3.5 to 6.5 per cent applies to the acquisition of real estate or a substantial shareholding (at least 95 per cent) in a company holding real estate. Furthermore, real estate tax is levied annually and is calculated on the basis of rates determined by the local authorities, and property values, which were last assessed in 1964 or 1935. However, the German Federal Constitutional Court held that these obsolete valuation methods are inconsistent with the constitutional principle of equality of taxation. In June 2019, the German federal government therefore sent a draft to the German parliament that is supposed to change how the assessment of property values is conducted by local authorities from 1 January 2022 onwards. According to the draft, the federal states will have the possibility to regulate the property-assessment individually by implementing their own assessment methods and criteria. This could lead to very different tax burdens in different parts of the country and could, in some parts of Germany, result in significant increases of the real estate tax burden in the future. The new real estate tax law is supposed to come into effect on 1 January 2025. Wealth tax has not been levied in Germany since 1997.

As a result of European directive requirements, the German government is currently making efforts to introduce an obligation to report certain tax planning arrangements to the tax authorities. This may not only affect tax advisers, but also taxpayers themselves. The details of the implementation in Germany are still pending.

#### ii Taxation of business assets under the Inheritance and Gift Tax Act

The inheritance tax law has been reformed several times, most recently in 2016. Exemptions of the Inheritance and Gift Tax Act for business assets are still widely available. The transferee may choose between a basic relief and an optional relief. According to the basic relief, 85 per cent of the business assets do not form part of the tax base and the remaining 15 per cent only are taxed. If the taxpayer chooses the optional relief, 100 per cent of the business assets are not considered part of the tax base. The relief is, however, conditional upon the continuing operation of the business for a certain amount of time (retention period) and the preservation of jobs. The retention period amounts to five years for the basic relief and seven years for the

optional relief. Regarding the preservation of jobs, depending on the relief model chosen and the number of employees, after the retention period, the total payroll has to amount to at least 250 to 700 per cent of the payroll before the transfer.

Furthermore, business assets can only benefit from the relief as far as they do not constitute passive non-operating assets. Passive non-operating assets are, generally speaking, leased real estate, minority shareholdings of 25 per cent or less, securities, certain movables like artworks, antique cars and yachts, and liquid funds if they exceed, after deduction of debt, 15 per cent of the business' total value.

The passive non-operating assets are fully taxable at the regular rate, so far as their value exceeds 10 per cent of the total business assets (the contamination clause). In extreme cases, if the passive non-operating assets equal 90 per cent or more of the value of the whole business, the remaining potentially tax-privileged assets of up to 10 per cent are excluded from all relief too in order to avoid any misuse. 'New passive non-operating assets' (i.e., those assets that were contributed to the business assets within a period of two years before the relevant transfer) are completely excluded from any form of relief.

In contrast to before 1 July 2016, relief can no longer be claimed independently from the value of the business assets transferred. If the value of the assets exceeds €26 million, the transferee may choose between two relief models: an ablation model or an economic needs test. According to the ablation model, the extent of relief is reduced by 1 per cent for each €750,000 in company value exceeding €26 million. The result is, that there is no longer any relief for acquisitions of approximately €90 million. The economic needs test, on the other hand, focuses on the transferee as a person and examines his or her assets. Out of his or her entire non-exempt assets after the transfer, the transferee is required to spend up to 50 per cent for the taxes due on the transferred business assets. Only if the 50 per cent of assets are not sufficient will an exemption from inheritance tax be considered upon request. Finally, it is noteworthy that the reform introduced the possibility of an advance deduction for family companies whose articles of association contain clauses typical for such family companies. However, this is only applicable if the provisions in the articles of association were already incorporated two years before the relevant transfer and if they are not revoked for 20 years thereafter. Therefore, it is highly recommended that family companies examine their articles of association and incorporate the appropriate clauses, if they are not in place already.

#### iii Tax treatment of trusts

Trusts are generally not recognised in Germany (see Section IV.iii). Trusts can, however, trigger inheritance and gift tax in several ways. The establishment of a trust by residents (see Section II.i) or of a trust comprising assets located in Germany is considered to be a transfer of assets that is taxable in accordance with the Inheritance and Gift Tax Act. Distributions to beneficiaries during the trust period or on the trust's dissolution may trigger income tax and gift tax as well, if the beneficiary is a German resident or if German situs assets are distributed. The relationship between gift tax on the one hand and income tax on the other with regard to trust distributions has not yet been ultimately clarified by the courts.

In addition, corporate tax can be triggered if income is received by a foreign trust from German sources. The worldwide income of a foreign trust may be subject to corporate tax if the trust's management is in Germany and if certain other conditions are met; for example, if the effective management of a trust is vested with a trustee resident in Germany.

Undistributed income received by a foreign trust can be attributed to the settlor or the beneficiaries if they are German residents. In this case, it can be subject to the settlor's or the beneficiary's personal income tax.

#### iv CFC rules in Germany – Sections 7 to 14 of the Foreign Tax Act

Taxation in Germany generally cannot be avoided by establishing a foreign entity in a low-tax country. The German rules for the taxation of controlled foreign companies (CFCs) meanwhile have an extensive scope of application. The CFC rules are settled in Sections 7–14 of the Foreign Tax Act (AStG).

These CFC rules extend the unlimited tax liability of residents to certain undistributed income of foreign corporations. The income may be attributed to domestic shareholders. The additional taxation under the CFC rules generally requires a substantial shareholding of German residents of more than 50 per cent of the corporation's shares (in certain cases, 1 per cent may suffice). The foreign corporation has to be an intermediate company, which receives passive or tainted income instead of income from its own business activities. Passive income is defined negatively by a list of active income in Section 8 of the AStG. Cumulatively, this passive income has to be subject to low tax rates of less than 25 per cent. Income that meets both criteria is added to a resident individual's income, to the extent to which the individual holds shares in the corporation. The taxable person can choose whether the taxes paid on income received from an intermediate company in a foreign country will be deducted from the amount subject to the additional taxation in Germany or whether the foreign taxes shall be credited against the additional taxes levied in Germany. In most cases, the second alternative is advantageous for the taxable person.

A foreign corporation is not, however, supposed to be an intermediate company if, inter alia, its effective place of management or statutory seat is located in a Member State of the EU or the European Economic Area and if the corporation carries out substantial economic activities.

Changes to the CFC rules are also expected in the foreseeable future. Sections 7 to 14 of the AStG are currently being revised by a special task force of the German tax authorities with the aim to modernise, as some of the regulations are over 30 years old. Adjustments are, furthermore, required with regard to the European Anti Tax Avoidance Directive.

#### III SUCCESSION

#### i Wills

According to Section 2064 et seq. and 2229 et seq. of the German Civil Code, there are two valid forms of wills: the holographic and the public will. The holographic will has to be handwritten, dated and signed by the testator. The public will has to be signed before and certified by a notary public. Neither form of will requires a witness.

A testator can also enter into a contract of succession with another person or set up a joint will with his or her spouse or civil partner. A contract of succession must be signed before and certified by a notary public; a handwritten contract does not meet the formal requirements.

By making a will, an individual can choose his or her heirs and state what share each heir receives subject to forced heirship rules. Additionally, an individual can make a legacy; that is, a person can be empowered to make a claim against the heirs, without being an heir himself or herself. This claim can be for an amount of money, a share of the deceased's estate, an item or anything else.

Wills made in a foreign jurisdiction can be valid in Germany. Germany recognises the HCCH Convention on the Conflicts of Laws Relating to the Form of Testamentary Dispositions 1961. Additionally, formal requirements for a will are laid down in Article 27 of the EU Succession Regulation. A will is valid if it complies with the law of the state where the testator made the will, the state of the testator's nationality or residence or, in the case of real estate, the location of the assets. Foreign grants and probates are not recognised. An heir must ask the competent probate court to issue a German certificate of inheritance.

#### ii Intestacy and forced heirship regime

If an individual dies intestate, intestacy rules apply. Under the intestacy rules, the deceased's estate is distributed among his or her relatives and spouse or civil partner in accordance with a strict order of succession. Children and their descendants constitute the first category, followed by parents and their descendants, grandparents and their descendants, and great-grandparents and their descendants. Relatives within a particular category inherit in equal shares (succession per stirpes). Where German law applies, the surviving spouse or civil partner also has a right of inheritance, determined by the matrimonial regime. Within a community of accrued gains, the surviving spouse or civil partner gets at least 50 per cent of the estate. If the deceased and his or her spouse or civil partner chose separation of property or community of property as their matrimonial regime, the surviving spouse or civil partner receives at least 25 per cent of the inheritance.

There is a forced heirship regime under which the descendants, the spouse or civil partner and the parents of the deceased are entitled to make a claim for a compulsory share of the deceased's estate, if they are excluded from the testator's will or if the share granted to them is less than their compulsory share. A relative's compulsory share generally amounts to 50 per cent of the value of that relative's hypothetical share on intestacy. It is a monetary claim and not a claim for a share of the estate. The compulsory share comprises all assets governed by German succession law (regardless of the beneficiary's residence). Therefore, the forced heirship regime can be avoided by acquiring assets that are situated abroad and that German succession law does not govern. The forced heir can renounce his or her right to his or her compulsory share during the testator's lifetime by signing a contract with the testator before a notary public. If the testator has died, a forced heir can also refrain from claiming his or her compulsory share.

#### iii Conflict of laws rules

Under old conflict of laws rules in Germany, the applicable succession law was that of the deceased's nationality. If the deceased was a foreign national, German succession law applied only if the law of the deceased's nationality provided for a reference back to Germany (renvoi). This could be the case if the deceased was domiciled in Germany, if the deceased's habitual abode was in Germany or if the deceased held property or assets in Germany on the date of his or her death.

For successions as of 17 August 2015, new conflict of laws rules apply because of the EU Succession Regulation. They are valid in all EU Member States except Denmark, Ireland and the United Kingdom. The Regulation is not only applicable to cross-border inheritances within the EU, but also to cases with links to third countries (e.g., US citizens with their

habitual abode in a Member State). According to the Regulation, the deceased's habitual abode at the time of his or her death instead of his or her nationality is relevant for the question of which succession law is applicable. If it is obvious that the deceased had a closer relationship to another state, that state's law will apply under certain circumstances. There is, however, the opportunity to opt for the succession law of an individual's nationality through a will, a joint will or by conclusion of an agreement regarding succession.

In addition, provisions on legal jurisdiction, recognition and enforcement of decisions and authentic instruments and on the European Certificate of Succession are part of the Regulation. As a general rule, the jurisdiction will be determined by the habitual abode at the time of the individual's death.

The EU Succession Regulation is not applicable to trusts, hence the respective national conflict of law regime applies.

#### IV WEALTH STRUCTURING AND REGULATION

#### i Commonly used structures: corporations and partnerships

Two structures are commonly used in Germany to hold assets: corporations and partnerships.

A corporation is subject to German corporate tax on its worldwide income if its effective place of management or statutory seat is located in Germany. The corporate tax amounts to 15 per cent plus the solidarity surcharge (see Section II.i). In addition to corporate tax, a trade tax is also levied. The trade tax due depends on the rates determined by the local authorities. A participation exemption may apply, however, for dividends and capital gains. Profits distributed to shareholders of the corporation are subject to withholding tax at a flat rate of 25 per cent plus the solidarity surcharge.

A foreign corporation with income from German sources might be subject to German corporate tax. If a foreign corporation has a branch in Germany that constitutes a permanent establishment, the corporation will be subject to German corporate tax and trade tax on all income effectively connected to this permanent establishment.

Partnerships are fiscally transparent in Germany for income tax purposes. The partners are subject to income tax at their individual tax rates plus the solidarity surcharge. If the partnership is engaged in trade or business, the partnership itself is subject to trade tax. Trade tax levied from the partnership is (to a large extent) credited against the income tax of the partners if they are individuals.

#### ii Foundations

Foundations in Germany can be established either as charitable foundations or as family foundations. Charitable foundations are tax privileged. Recognition as a charitable foundation requires that the foundation's activities are dedicated to the altruistic advancement of the general public in material, spiritual or moral respects. These purposes must be pursued altruistically, exclusively and directly. A charitable foundation may, however, use a third of its income for the maintenance of the founder and his or her family. The formation of a charitable foundation neither triggers any inheritance or gift tax, nor real estate transfer tax if real property is transferred gratuitously to the foundation. A charitable foundation is released from almost every current form of taxation, especially corporate tax and trade tax.

In contrast, a family foundation is not tax-privileged. It is conducted for the personal benefit and the advancement of one or more families. The formation of a family foundation and later donations to the foundation generally trigger inheritance and gift tax. The current

taxation of a family foundation generally complies with the taxation of other legal persons. A family foundation can, however, receive income not only from trade or business but any type of income. In addition, only family foundations are liable for a substitute inheritance tax. This special tax accrues every 30 years. Moreover, distributions to beneficiaries are subject to income tax. The liquidation of a family foundation leads to an acquisition of assets on the level of the beneficiaries. This acquisition is treated as a lifetime gift. Therefore, it is subject to gift tax. Income tax may be triggered as well. The classification of the tax bracket depends on the relationship between the founder and the beneficiary.

In contrast to German family foundations, foreign family foundations are not liable to pay substitute inheritance tax. However, the undistributed income of a foreign family foundation may be attributed to the personal income of the founder or the beneficiaries if they are resident for tax purposes in Germany (Section 15 AStG). This does not apply to family foundations that have their seat in a Member State of the EU or the European Economic Area, if the foundation's assets are legally and effectively separated from the beneficiaries' property and that a treaty regarding mutual administrative assistance exists between Germany and the state in which the foundation has its seat. These conditions have to be satisfied cumulatively.

#### iii Trusts

Neither domestic nor foreign trusts are recognised in Germany. Germany does not have its own trust law. Germany did not ratify the HCCH Convention on the Law applicable to Trusts and on their Recognition 1985. Therefore, German property law does not recognise the transfer of assets located in Germany to a trust. In these circumstances, the terms of a trust are interpreted in accordance with German law for civil law and tax purposes.

Where assets governed by foreign property law have been transferred to an irrevocable trust effectively formed under foreign trust law, the trust can shelter these assets from the settlor's or beneficiary's creditors. German courts generally do not recognise claims against trust assets on the dissolution of a marriage or partnership after 10 years from the date of the transfer.

Foreign trusts are disadvantaged in terms of tax issues when they are established or when distributions to beneficiaries are made (see Section II.iii).

#### V OUTLOOK AND CONCLUSIONS

The German legal and tax system offers some flexibility for private wealth and estate planning. If structured appropriately, the taxpayer can take advantage of certain relief mechanisms for the succession in family-owned businesses. In particular, flexibility was gained when the EU Succession Regulation came into effect.

Usually, corporations and partnerships are used to structure assets and transfer them to the next generation. Family foundations and charitable foundations may be considered an alternative instrument in estate planning from time to time. Trusts, however, are not recognised in Germany. In comparison with corporations and foundations, they are disadvantaged if beneficiaries of a foreign trust have their permanent home or their habitual abode in Germany.

## ABOUT THE AUTHORS

#### ANDREAS RICHTER

#### P+P Pöllath + Partners

Dr Andreas Richter, LLM, is a partner at P+P Pöllath + Partners. He has outstanding experience in business and wealth succession, estate planning, legal and tax structuring of private wealth and family offices, corporate governance for family-owned businesses, expatriation taxation and charities, as well as in trust and foundation law. Some of Germany's leading family offices, family businesses and foundations, as well as their peers abroad, form the client base for Andreas's work as a legal and tax adviser. Clients in common law jurisdictions often engage Andreas owing to his background in English law (BA Hons, Trinity College, Cambridge) and US law (LLM, Yale Law School). He is listed in domestic and international rankings as one of the leading lawyers in his practice areas. Among others, *Who's Who Legal: Germany 2019* lists Andreas as one of the 'Most Highly Regarded Individuals' in the practice area 'Private Client'.

Andreas is the managing director of the Berlin Tax Policy Forum, chairman of the executive board of the postgraduate programme 'Inheritance Law & Business Succession' at the University of Muenster and is a member of the International Academy of Estate and Trust Law. He serves as a member on boards of family offices, foundations and family businesses and acts as executor.

Andreas is the editor of the leading German compendium on foundations and trusts and related tax issues. He also is the author and editor of numerous other publications, commentaries and compendiums, in particular on family offices, foundation law, business succession and all tax-related matters.

#### **KATHARINA HEMMEN**

#### P+P Pöllath + Partners

Dr Katharina Hemmen, LLM, is admitted as attorney-at-law and tax adviser. As a counsel at P+P Pöllath + Partners in Frankfurt, she focuses on legal and tax advice with regard to domestic and international tax law, inheritance law, and succession planning, as well as trust and foundation law. She regularly speaks at conferences, such as those of the International Bar Association, on private client topics and has been ranked as 'One to watch' in the Private Client Global Elite by Legal Week. She has authored many publications in her practice areas.

#### P+P PÖLLATH + PARTNERS

Potsdamer Platz 5 10785 Berlin Germany Tel: +49 30 25353 132 Fax: +49 30 25353 999 andreas.richter@pplaw.com

An der Welle 3 60322 Frankfurt Germany Tel: +49 69 247047 83 Fax: +49 69 247047 30 katharina.hemmen@pplaw.com www.pplaw.com