



The
**LEGAL
500**

**COUNTRY
COMPARATIVE
GUIDES 2021**

The Legal 500 Country Comparative Guides

Germany

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Contributing firm

POELLATH



Dr. Eva Nase

Partner at POELLATH in Munich | eva.nase@pplaw.com

This country-specific Q&A provides an overview of corporate governance laws and regulations applicable in Germany.

For a full list of jurisdictional Q&As visit legal500.com/guides

GERMANY

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE



1. What are the most common types of corporate business entity and what are the main structural differences between them?

Companies may be organized as capital companies, like the limited liability company (*Gesellschaft mit beschränkter Haftung, GmbH*), the stock corporation (*Aktiengesellschaft, AG*), the European stock corporation (*Societas Europaea, SE*) and the partnership limited by shares (*Kommanditgesellschaft auf Aktien*) or as partnerships. Capital companies in the form of an AG, SE and GmbH are most common and will this be focused on in the answers below. As the SE in its most prevalent form is treated like an AG, we will only address the SE separately in case of differences.

The main structural differences are in the corporate governance structures and the corresponding rights of the shareholders. Whereas an AG and some SEs follow the two-tier system with management board (*Vorstand*), which manages and represents the company, and supervisory board (*Aufsichtsrat*), which supervises the management board, and the general meeting of shareholders having correspondingly rather limited rights and influence on the management of the company, the SE may alternatively feature a one-tier corporate governance system with an administrative board (*Verwaltungsrat*) and managing directors (*Geschäftsführende Direktoren*) and the same limited rights of the shareholders. However, such monistic SEs are rather rare in Germany.

Contrary, a GmbH is managed by managing directors (*Geschäftsführer*) and only has a supervisory board in case of so called co-determination (*Mitbestimmung*). In a GmbH, shareholders have more rights, in particular the right to instruct the managing directors via shareholders' resolution (apart from ordinary or extra-ordinary shareholders' meetings and resolutions that can be passed in writing).

2. What are the current key topical legal

issues, developments, trends and challenges in corporate governance in this jurisdiction?

- CoViD-legislation and its most recent amendments on virtual general meetings of AG's and SE's (strengthening the shareholders discussion and filing rights) as well as reliefs on passing shareholders' resolutions in writing in GmbH's
- Draft on the Second Leadership Positions Act on equal participation of women in leadership positions – commonly known as “women's quota” – which aims to further develop the statutory provisions already established in 2015 with the so-called First Leadership Positions Act
- Practical effects of implementing the amended German Stock Corporation Act (*Aktiengesetz, AktG*) (implementation of the Shareholder Rights Directive II) and of the revised German Corporate Governance Code (*Deutscher Corporate Governance Kodex, DCGK*)
- Increased European regulation with respect to environmental issues and sustainability

3. Who are the key persons involved in the management of each type of entity?

In a two-tier system of an AG and in some SE's, key persons are the members of the management board (*Vorstand*) and of the supervisory board (*Aufsichtsrat*). In a one-tier system SE these are the members of the administrative board (*Verwaltungsrat*) and the managing directors (*Geschäftsführende Direktoren*). The latter can at the same time be members of the administrative board, unless their total number is less than the total number of non-executive board members.

In a GmbH key persons are the managing directors (*Geschäftsführer*) and the shareholders. Only in case of co-determination (*Mitbestimmung*), a supervisory board and its members come into relevance.

4. How are responsibility and management power divided between the entity's management and its economic owners?

How are decisions or approvals of the owners made or given (e.g. at a meeting or in writing)

Day-to-day management is with the management board in a two-tier system and with the managing directors in an SE with a one-tier system and a GmbH.

Managing directors of a GmbH are however subject to instructions of the shareholders, which may influence the company's management and also day-to-day management. Managing directors of a one-tier SE are subject to instructions of the administrative board, which is responsible for the management of all material company matters (*Oberleitung*) and for the determination of guidelines for the SE's business, being at the same time entitled to supervise the management, in particular the day-to-day management. Shareholders and economic owners of an AG and an SE as well as the supervisory board of a two-tier AG are not entitled to pass management decisions or influence them outside their particular statutory (supervising) rights.

Shareholders of an AG and SE have to pass their resolutions in a meeting, which needed to a broad extent take place physically prior to the CoViD-pandemic. Based on pandemic legislation of March 2020, such meetings are allowed to take place virtually. An amendment dated December 2020 has in particular strengthened the shareholders' rights with effect of 28 February 2021 to address questions and being entitled to receive answers as well as to file for certain resolution proposals to be passed in the virtual meeting.

Owners of a GmbH can pass their resolutions either in a meeting or in writing. As resolutions can be passed in writing, legislator has eased such resolution passing by its pandemic legislation and has therefore till now abstained from providing for virtual meetings in a GmbH. Thus, authorizations to virtual meetings would need to be inserted into the articles of association of a GmbH.

5. What are the principal sources of corporate governance requirements and practices? Are entities required to comply with a specific code of corporate governance?

Primary sources for corporate governance requirements are the German Stock Corporation Act for AGs and SEs (*Aktiengesetz*), the European and German acts on

SEs (particularly the European SE-VO and the German SEAG), the German Limited Liability Companies Act (*Gesetz betreffend die Gesellschaften mit beschränkter Haftung, GmbHG*), the German Commercial Code (*Handelsgesetzbuch*) and, for certain aspects, the Reorganisation of Companies Act (*Umwandlungsgesetz*), the German Securities Acquisition and Takeover Act (*Wertpapiererwerbs- und Übernahmegesetz*) as well as the Securities Trade Act (*Wertpapierhandelsgesetz*). The articles of association of the company and the rules of procedure for the management may impose further requirements.

The German Corporate Governance Code (*Deutscher Corporate Governance Kodex, DCGK*) is an additional, non-binding source of corporate governance rules for listed companies (AGs, SEs, KGaAs). The DCGK was heavily revised in 2020.

6. How is the board or other governing body constituted?

The management board in a two-tier system consists of one or more members; the same applies to the potential number of managing directors in an SE with a one-tier system and a GmbH, each unless the articles of association provide otherwise. The supervisory board has to consist of at least three members and up to 21 members, depending on the registered share capital of the corporation. In case of co-determination the number must be divisible by three. If parity co-determination is applicable, the minimum number of supervisory board members is 12 and beyond this depends on the total number of German employees.

The administrative board of a one-tier SE can consist of two or more members, subject to the amount of the registered share capital.

As regards day-to-day management and oversight, please also refer to no. 4 above.

7. How are the members of the board appointed and removed? What influence do the entity's owners have over this?

Members of the management are appointed and dismissed by the supervisory board and, in case of a one-tier SE, the administrative board. Members of the supervisory and administrative board are appointed by the general meeting. Dismissal requires a resolution of the general meeting with a majority of in principle at least 75% of the votes cast.

However, depending on the size of the company, a

certain number of members of the supervisory and board must be elected by the employees of the company, i.e. co-determination (*Mitbestimmung*). In an AG or GmbH with generally more than 500 German employees, one-third of the supervisory board members must be employee representatives, i.e. the one third participation (*Drittelbeteiligung*), and with more than 2,000 German employees, the supervisory board must consist of 50% employee representatives, i.e. the parity co-determination (*paritätische Mitbestimmung*).

German co-determination rules do not apply to the SE. When incorporating an SE by one way of the 'numerus clausus' of incorporation, an agreement on the participation of the employees has to be negotiated with a so-called special negotiating body representing the employees. Thereby, the level of co-determination of the German company legally required prior to the implementation of the SE shall be maintained (freeze of co-determination).

Managing directors in a GmbH are appointed and dismissed by the shareholders' meeting with simple majority in general.

8. Who typically serves on the board? Are there requirements that govern board composition or impose qualifications for board members regarding independence, diversity, tenure or succession?

Members of the management board must fulfill basic statutory requirements regarding personal reliability, *g.* no criminal record. There is no minimum number of members of the management board (also, see no. 6 above). The maximum term of office is five years (six years in a SE); a reappointment is permitted. It may not be renewed or extended until one year before the end of the term.

In a listed and co-determined company, 30% of the members of the supervisory board needs to be women and men, and according to current draft act, this shall in future also apply to 30% of the members of the management board. In a listed or co-determined company, the supervisory board must determine and annually report on a target percentage for women on the management board and the management board for the second/third line management.

The DCKG makes several recommendations regarding diversity of the management and supervisory board and the tenure of its members.

As regards composition of the supervisory and

administrative board please also refer to no. 6 above. Supervisory boards of listed companies must have one member with finance, reporting or auditing expertise. The DCGK additionally makes several recommendations regarding the composition of the supervisory board as well as the qualification and independence of its members.

Similar rules apply to managing directors of a GmbH compared with the members of the management board of non-listed AGs or SEs. The GmbHG does not limit the tenure of managing directors but limited terms of office are common practice.

9. What is the role of the board with respect to setting and changing strategy?

The supervisory board in a two-tier AG/SE is not entitled to set and/or change strategy. This entitlement is solely with the management board in its absolute free discretion. Differently, the administrative board of a one-tier SE is entitled to set and change such strategy and the managing directors are obliged to implement, if necessary, based on instructions from the administrative board.

10. How are members of the board compensated? Is their remuneration regulated in any way?

The remuneration of the members of the management board is agreed upon in the service contract between the respective member and the company, represented by the supervisory board. For listed companies, the supervisory board has to develop a remuneration policy. It will typically provide for a mix of fixed remuneration and variable remuneration, the latter divided between short-term and long-term incentives with emphasis on share-based remuneration. The remuneration policy must be clear and understandable, set a maximum remuneration and include detailed information on different aspects of the remuneration. The general meeting must vote on the policy at least every four years. While non-binding, a shareholder vote rejecting the policy triggers an obligation to amend the policy and to present it again at next year's general meeting. Further, a yearly remuneration report must be prepared and voted upon by the general meeting. Both documents must be published on the company's website.

Members of the supervisory board receive a cash remuneration either specified in the articles of association or resolved upon by the general meeting and taking into consideration the status as chair or deputy

chair of the supervisory board and of potential committees. In listed companies, it must be included in the remuneration report. The DCGK suggests a fix cash remuneration.

The remuneration of managing directors of a GmbH is not subject to regulation. Nevertheless, the respective service contract between the managing director and the company (represented by the shareholders' meeting) will commonly provide a mix of fixed and variable remuneration.

11. Do members of the board owe any fiduciary or special duties and, if so, to whom? What are the potential consequences of breaching any such duties?

Members of the management owe a fiduciary duty to the company and must manage its affairs with the due care of a prudent and diligent businessman, particularly in accordance with the applicable laws and the articles of association. In case of entrepreneurial decisions, the aforementioned standard is met if the respective member could reasonably assume to have gained sufficient information before making the decision, is not conflicted and considers that it is acting in the company's best interests (business judgement rule). The same applies mutatis mutandis to the members of the supervisory or the administrative board.

While members of both boards and managing directors must act in the company's best interests, this includes the interests of its stakeholders (e.g. creditors and employees).

12. Are indemnities and/or insurance permitted to cover board members' potential personal liability? If permitted, are such protections typical or rare?

The members of the management and supervisory board are personally liable for a culpable breach of duty. The members of the respective board are jointly and severally liable vis-à-vis the company. Thus, individual members may not alleviate themselves from liability because a certain responsibility was delegated to a different member internally. Furthermore, liability is not affected if the members of the respective board have been discharged by the general meeting. Therefore, D&O insurance for the members of both boards is common practice in order to protect them against personal liability. Premiums are generally paid by the company, however, members of the management board

must bear a statutory deductible.

In case of a GmbH, the consequences of a breach of the duties of managing directors are, to great extent, comparable to an AG. However, if the shareholders' meeting has discharged the managing director knowing the facts underlying such breach, such discharge leads to an exclusion of liability.

13. How (and by whom) are board members typically overseen and evaluated?

The members of the management board are overseen by the supervisory board (see above no. 1 and 4), primarily by way of approval rights of the supervisory board. They are evaluated by the supervisory board based on the performance indicators and targets set and agreed upon in the variable remuneration components and/or the remuneration policy. The same applies to managing directors of a one-tier SE in relation to the administrative board.

The shareholders' meeting of a GmbH oversees the managing directors, in particular through approval rights and its right to issue instructions.

14. Is the board required to engage actively with the entity's economic owners? If so, how does it do this and report on its actions?

Apart from the communication in the course of the company's disclosures (see below no. 16), communication primarily takes place in the course of the (annual) general meeting.

In addition, the management board may engage in communications with its investors but in doing so must treat all shareholders equally and may not disclose business secrets or insider information. For this reason, the management board will abstain from providing investors with any information that has not already been disclosed or that is not intended for disclosure to all other shareholders. The DCGK suggests that the chairman of the supervisory board shall be prepared to engage in investor relation communication on topics related to the supervisory board.

In contrast, shareholders of a GmbH may at any time demand information on company matters and access to the company records from the managing directors.

15. Are dual-class and multi-class capital structures permitted? If so, how common are they?

Both such capital structures are permitted, but the exception. In practice, multi-classes of shares are implemented in either the articles of association or in a shareholders' agreement (thus contractually only), if shareholders shall be entitled to disproportionate dividend and liquidation proceeds', e.g. due to financing rounds; waterfall. The most common dual-class structure is a combination of ordinary shares and non-voting preference shares.

16. What financial and non-financial information must an entity disclose to the public? How does it do this?

Basic information on all capital companies is available to the public through the commercial register (e.g. members of the management board, share capital).

All capital companies must prepare and, if applicable, publish their audited annual reports. The same applies to group financial statements. Listed companies must also prepare and publish half-yearly financial statements and management reports. Group financial statements of listed companies must be prepared in accordance with IFRS.

Listed companies (AGs, SEs and KGaAs) must publish all notifications on voting rights and on future voting rights based on derivatives they receive from their shareholders, exceeding or falling below the thresholds of 3%, 5%, 10%, 15%, 20%, 25%, 30%, 50% or 75%. They must also publish the total number of voting rights in case of any changes.

Listed companies must announce any insider information without undue delay by way of a so called ad hoc notification.

In AGs and SEs, another important channel of information are the invitations to the general meeting, especially the annual general meeting.

Lastly, the company must state whether or not the recommendations of the DCGK were followed in the respective financial year and must explain any non-compliance in the report on corporate governance in the management report ('comply or explain').

17. Can an entity's economic owners

propose matters for a vote or call a special meeting? If so, what is the procedure?

Shareholders of an AG or SE whose combined share in the share capital is 5% or higher or corresponds to a nominal stake of EUR 500,000 may demand that certain additional items are put on the agenda.

Regarding a GmbH, the respective threshold is a combined share in the share capital of 10% or higher.

18. What rights do investors have to take enforcement action against an entity and/or the members of its board?

Investors can assert claims against the entity.

However, in an AG and SE (with a few exceptions in special statutory rules – eg, in the event of an insolvency, and in the context of wilful misconduct), they cannot enforce a breach of duties of members of management and supervising bodies. The members of the bodies are rather jointly and severally liable in the internal relationship towards the company due to their joint responsibility. Thus, individual members of a management and supervising body may not alleviate themselves from liability because a certain task or responsibility was delegated to a different member internally. Furthermore, such breach may lead to a dismissal and, with respect to the management board members, a termination of their service contract.

In principle, the supervisory board is responsible and – according to case law – even has a duty to assert damage claims to the management board members. The company may waive its damage claims or enter into settlement arrangements on these claims only if three years have lapsed since the claim arose and the general meeting resolved thereupon without a minority of the shareholders (at least 10% of the share capital) raising an objection.

In the event that members of the supervisory board culpably breach their duties, the management board is responsible to pursue possible damage claims against the supervisory board members jointly and severally.

The rights and obligations on asserting claims against members of corporate governance bodies in an AG, SE and KGaA are independent of whether or not the members of these respective bodies have been discharged. Another particular consequence of a breach of duty in a listed company is that the company may be obliged to disclose it to the capital market by way of ad hoc notification.

In case of a GmbH, the consequences of a breach of the duties of managing directors are, to a great extent, comparable to an AG. In general, the managing directors, like the management board members, are not directly liable to the creditors of the company. However, the shareholders' meeting has the right to pursue damage claims and to decide about the dismissal of managing directors and the termination of the service contract. In contrast to the situation in the AG, if the shareholders' meeting has discharged the managing director knowing the facts underlying such breach, such discharge leads to an exclusion of liability (see above no. 12).

19. Is shareholder activism common? If so, what are the recent trends? How can shareholders exert influence on a corporate entity's management?

Shareholder activism was limited through changes to the legal framework in the first decade of the century but continues to play a role in Germany. Activist shareholders are increasingly including smaller and lesser known companies in their activities. Especially small- and mid-cap companies are not yet well prepared for such interactions.

Activist shareholders today usually acquire minority stakes and will then approach the management with certain demands. If such demands are not met, they will escalate the situation, e.g. by launching public campaigns. They may also exercise their shareholder rights to increase pressure on the management, e.g. through questions in the shareholder meeting, requesting special audits in the general meeting to unearth violations of the law or placing a member on the supervisory board.

20. Are shareholder meetings required to be held annually, or at any other specified time? What information needs to be presented at a shareholder meeting?

An annual general meeting is mandatory in an AG within the first eight months of a financial year (first six months in a SE). The annual meeting has to resolve upon the ordinary topics which contain the appropriation of profits, the appointment of the auditor and the formal discharge of the members of both boards and upon extraordinary topics as they occur. Generally, the management board may convene extraordinary general meetings in urgent cases.

Apart from a customary management presentation, a

report by the supervisory board and information presented in response to questions by shareholders, the AktG requires additional information being given in certain circumstances. For example, the management board has to provide a report in case of a capital increase with the exclusion of the subscription rights of existing shareholders.

There is no timeframe for the annual shareholders' meeting in a GmbH.

21. Are there any organisations that provide voting recommendations, or otherwise advise or influence investors on whether and how to vote (whether generally in the market or with respect to a particular entity)?

Proxy advisors as well as shareholders' associations for German retail investors provide voting recommendations and other advice (e.g. Institutional Shareholder Services and Glass Lewis). Implementing the Shareholder Rights Directive II, the AktG introduced several disclosure requirements for proxy advisors, e.g. an annual report with respect to the code of conduct followed by the proxy advisor in making its decision, if any.

The topic of sustainability and social responsibility is becoming increasingly significant. In this context, voting guidelines include various ESG (Environment, Social, Governance) criteria. Accordingly, violations of ESG criteria, such as oversight failures with regard to environmental or social matters, may constitute grounds for rejection for supervisory board candidates. In particular, these ESG criteria are to be considered in resolutions on the remuneration of the management board. Moreover, a recommendation for a resolution against the discharge of the Executive Board or the Supervisory Board can be based on deficiencies in a sustainable corporate strategy.

22. What role do other stakeholders, including debt-holders, employees and other workers, suppliers, customers, regulators, the government and communities typically play in the corporate governance of a corporate entity?

Employees play a considerable role through their representation on the supervisory board in case of co-determination (see above no. 6 and 7). Besides, employees can exert influence through 'works councils', which may be elected by the employees in companies

with more than five employees or 'economic committees' generally established in companies with more than 100 employees. The influence of both institutions is referred to as operational co-determination giving employees various information and consultation rights mostly regarding working conditions and social issues.

Debt holders, suppliers and customers generally do not play a direct role in the corporate governance of a company. However, contractual obligations, like approval rights and financial as well as operational covenants, as well as de facto influence may have similar effects; yet, factual management thereby is restricted by case-law. Some companies may be subject to similar constraints vis-à-vis their customers.

23. How are the interests of non-shareholder stakeholders factored into the decisions of the governing body of a corporate entity?

The German 'stakeholder model' requires that members of both, management and supervisory board as well as managing directors, consider the interests of shareholders and stakeholders (see above no. 11).

24. What consideration is typically given to ESG issues by corporate entities? What are the key legal obligations with respect to ESG matters?

Implementing the EU Directive 2014/95/EU, Germany introduced numerous reporting requirements for listed companies to improve transparency and sustainability commitment of companies. Larger capital companies with more than 500 employees have to include a declaration on non-financial aspects in the management report. It includes information on the company's concepts regarding environmental, employee-related and social issues, human rights as well as actions against corruption and bribery and their implementation.

As part of the EU Action Plan for Financing Sustainable Growth, the new Disclosure Regulation (Regulation (EU) 2019/2088) will apply from 10 March 2021. The regulation only applies to financial market participants and financial advisors. The regulation establishes extensive transparency obligations in the integration of sustainability risks, the consideration of adverse sustainability impacts in their processes (e.g. investing and advising) and the provision of sustainability-related information with respect to financial products. In particular, additional disclosure requirements apply if

environmental or social characteristics are promoted in connection with financial products. The disclosures of the financial market participants and financial advisers must be made on the respective website as well as in pre-contractual information.

With regard to other companies, listed and non-listed, there is little clear legislation in connection with ESG. Nevertheless, the management and supervisory board should already take ESG criteria into account, as they are becoming more and more important.

25. What stewardship, disclosure and other responsibilities do investors have with regard to the corporate governance of an entity in which they are invested or their level of investment or interest in the entity?

Generally, each shareholder and each investor's representative has the same rights and duties. Each shareholder's share has the same voting power (double and multiple voting influence not permitted any more). Thus, when appointing members of the supervisory or administrative board or the managing directors of a GmbH (see above no. 7), majority investment can pass on relevant stewardship.

Shareholders of AGs and SEs have to disclose shareholdings of more than 25% and 50% to non-listed companies. Voting rights notifications for shareholders of listed companies are set out in no. 16 above.

If investors are represented on a board, they are obliged, both, according to statutory law as well as the DCGK (see above no. 8), to disclose potential conflicts of interests in relation to certain measures to be resolved upon in the relevant board to the respective board (chair) for him or the board to decide upon the member's abstentions. Also, to a certain extent, related party agreements would need to be disclosed. In listed companies, also director's dealings notifications may need to be made, if investor's representatives trade with own shares or with shares of the investor, statutorily allocated to the representative.

26. What are the current perspectives in this jurisdiction regarding short-term investment objectives in contrast with the promotion of sustainable longer-term value creation?

In Germany, neither applicable law nor case-law provide for any such perspectives and differentiations.

Contributors

Dr. Eva Nase
Partner at POELLATH in Munich

eva.nase@pplaw.com

