

# Chambers



GLOBAL PRACTICE GUIDE

---

Definitive global law guides offering  
comparative analysis from top-ranked lawyers

# Corporate Governance

Germany

Eva Nase and Christoph-Alexander May

P+P Pöllath + Partners Rechtsanwälte und Steuerberater mbB

[chambers.com](https://chambers.com)

# 2020

# GERMANY

## Law and Practice

Contributed by:

Eva Nase and Christoph-Alexander May,

P+P Pöllath + Partners Rechtsanwälte und Steuerberater mbB see p.15



## Contents

<b>1. Introduction</b>	p.3	4.6 Legal Duties of Directors/Officers	p.8
1.1 Forms of Corporate/Business Organisations	p.3	4.7 Responsibility/Accountability of Directors	p.9
1.2 Sources of Corporate Governance Requirements	p.3	4.8 Consequences and Enforcement of Breach of Directors' Duties	p.9
1.3 Corporate Governance Requirements for Companies with Publicly Traded Shares	p.3	4.9 Other Bases for Claims/Enforcement Against Directors/Officers	p.9
<b>2. Corporate Governance Context</b>	p.4	4.10 Approvals and Restrictions Concerning Payments to Directors/Officers	p.9
2.1 Key Corporate Governance Rules and Requirements	p.4	4.11 Disclosure of Payments to Directors/Officers	p.10
2.2 Current Corporate Governance Issues and Developments	p.4	<b>5. Shareholders</b>	p.11
2.3 Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) Considerations	p.5	5.1 Relationship Between Companies and Shareholders	p.11
2.4 The Impact of COVID-19 on Governance	p.5	5.2 Role of Shareholders in Company Management	p.11
<b>3. Management of the Company</b>	p.5	5.3 Shareholder Meetings	p.11
3.1 Bodies or Functions Involved in Governance and Management	p.5	5.4 Shareholder Claims	p.12
3.2 Decisions Made by Particular Bodies	p.6	5.5 Disclosure by Shareholders in Publicly Traded Companies	p.13
3.3 Decision-Making Processes	p.6	<b>6. Corporate Reporting and Other Disclosures</b>	p.13
<b>4. Directors and Officers</b>	p.7	6.1 Financial Reporting	p.13
4.1 Board Structure	p.7	6.2 Disclosure of Corporate Governance Arrangements	p.13
4.2 Roles of Board Members	p.7	6.3 Companies Registry Filings	p.13
4.3 Board Composition Requirements/Recommendations	p.7	<b>7. Audit, Risk and Internal Controls</b>	p.14
4.4 Appointment and Removal of Directors/Officers	p.8	7.1 Appointment of External Auditors	p.14
4.5 Rules/Requirements Concerning Independence of Directors	p.8	7.2 Requirements for Directors Concerning Management Risk and Internal Controls	p.14

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 Forms of Corporate/Business Organisations

German law differentiates between capital companies and partnerships. The following chapter will focus on capital companies, as these are the most important and regulated forms of companies in Germany.

#### Capital Companies

Capital companies are legal entities, where the liability is limited to the assets of the company – ie, the shareholders' liability is limited to what they have invested in the company. The most common legal forms of capital companies are the limited liability company (*Gesellschaft mit beschränkter Haftung* – GmbH) and the stock corporation (*Aktiengesellschaft* – AG). Other forms of capital companies are the European stock company (*Societas Europaea* – SE) and the partnership limited by shares (*Kommanditgesellschaft auf Aktien* – KGaA).

The KGaA is a capital company, but also has some elements of a partnership.

#### Partnerships

Partnerships are characterised by the personal liability of the partners. The most popular legal form of a partnership is the limited partnership (*Kommanditgesellschaft* – KG), consisting of limited partners whose liability is limited to a certain amount agreed and disclosed in the commercial register, and general partners with unlimited liability. However, the general partner may have the legal form of a capital company, thereby limiting its liability.

German law also acknowledges the partnership under civil law (*Gesellschaft bürgerlichen Rechts* – GbR) and the general partnership (*Offene Handelsgesellschaft* – OHG), with unlimited liability of their partners.

### 1.2 Sources of Corporate Governance Requirements

The primary sources for corporate governance requirements for capital companies in Germany (GmbH, AG, KGaA, SE) are:

- the German Limited Liability Companies Act (*Gesetz betreffend die Gesellschaften mit beschränkter Haftung* – GmbHG);
- the German Stock Corporation Act (*Aktiengesetz* – AktG);
- the European and German acts on SEs (in particular the European SEVO and the German SEAG);
- the German Commercial Code (*Handelsgesetzbuch* – HGB);
- the Reorganisation of Companies Act (*Umwandlungsgesetz* – UmwG);
- the German Securities Acquisition and Takeover Act (*Wertpapiererwerbs- und Übernahmegesetz* – WpÜG); and

- the Securities Trade Act (*Wertpapierhandelsgesetz* – WpHG).

Beyond this, for listed companies the German Corporate Governance Code (Deutscher Corporate Governance Kodex – DCGK) sets further corporate governance rules, which differentiate between recommendations and suggestions. The new DCGK introduced the new category of principles which precede the recommendations and suggestions regarding a certain subject matter and outline the fundamentals of the applicable law.

Moreover, non-governmental regulations such as applicable listing rules enacted by the stock exchanges also establish corporate governance requirements.

Certain industry sectors (eg, banks) are subject to further regulation with respect to, inter alia, their corporate governance.

### 1.3 Corporate Governance Requirements for Companies with Publicly Traded Shares

Shares of an AG, SE and, less common, a KGaA may be listed on a stock exchange. The primary source for corporate governance requirements concerning listed AGs and KGaAs as well as, to a lesser degree, SEs is the AktG, as it differentiates between rules for listed and non-listed companies. Its requirements are mandatory. The HGB, WpHG, WpÜG, the European and German Securities Prospectus rules (the European WPVO and the German WpPG), the Stock Exchange Act (*Börsengesetz* – BörsG) and the Market Abuse Regulation (MAR) provide for further mandatory regulation, inter alia, in relation to listed companies' corporate governance.

To promote a high corporate governance standard, the DCGK contains corporate governance standards in the form of recommendations and suggestions for listed companies with a two-tier corporate governance system; however, the rules of the DCGK shall also be applied correspondingly by listed companies with a one-tier corporate governance system (see **3.1 Bodies or Functions Involved in Governance and Management**). The DCGK is not enacted by the legislator, but by the German Corporate Governance Commission and is therefore not a statute or an ordinance, but rather “soft law”, so the standards set in the DCGK are principally voluntary. Recommendations shall be complied with and, if not, deviations have to be explained and disclosed (principle of “comply or explain”) in a declaration of compliance (*Entsprechenserklärung*), to be resolved upon annually by the responsible corporate governance bodies of the listed company.

The declaration of compliance is to be included in the declaration on corporate governance which itself is part of the management report. The issuance of the declaration of compliance is obligatory. Deviations from suggestions are allowed without

disclosure. In practice, listed companies seek to comply with the standards set out in the DCGK, in particular the recommendations.

## 2. Corporate Governance Context

### 2.1 Key Corporate Governance Rules and Requirements

Over and above the corporate governance rules this article will focus on, German law provides for the following particularity changing the (allocation of seats of the) supervising body of certain companies.

Under German law, there are two different kinds of employee representation in supervisory boards of an AG, KGaA and GmbH – the so-called co-determination (“*Mitbestimmung*”).

If an AG or a KGaA exceeds the threshold of, generally, 500 German employees, one third of the supervisory board members of the company must be employee representatives – ie, the one third participation (*Drittelbeteiligungsgesetz* – *DrittelbG*). If an AG, KGaA or GmbH and its controlled companies exceed 2,000 German employees in total, the supervisory board must consist of 50% employee representatives – ie, the parity co-determination (*Mitbestimmungsgesetz* – *MitbestG*).

#### GmbHs

With respect to a GmbH, the establishment of a supervisory board is only required if co-determination rules become applicable. Thus, a GmbH with more than 500 German employees must establish a supervisory board with one third of the supervisory board members being employee representatives. Also, a GmbH with more than 2,000 German employees within it and its controlled group must establish a parity co-determined supervisory board with a minimum of six shareholder and six employee representatives.

Shareholder representatives on the supervisory board are generally appointed by the general meeting, while employee representatives in cases of co-determination are generally appointed by employee elections.

#### SEs

German co-determination rules do not apply to the SE. When incorporating an SE by way of the “*numerus clausus*” of incorporation, an agreement on the participation of employees in the SE (the so-called employee participation agreement) has to be negotiated with the special negotiating body, which is established particularly for such negotiation, representing employees from the German company, its subsidiaries and branches that are in EU and EEA member states other than Germany. The

rules on co-determination are part of the agreement, with the general principle that the level of co-determination of the German company used to incorporate the SE shall be maintained (freeze of co-determination/prior to and after principle) – eg, if no co-determination exists and needed to exist prior to the incorporation of the SE, then no co-determination would need to be agreed upon in the employee participation agreement for the SE, etc.

### 2.2 Current Corporate Governance Issues and Developments

A key issue will be the future development in corporate governance based on the EU regulation with respect to environmental issues and with respect to sustainability.

EU regulation with respect to capital markets law will also continue to develop. Currently, the European Securities Markets Authority evaluates the feedback it received in the course its review of the Market Abuse Regulation with a final report due in spring 2020, possibly leading to modifications of the MAR or its application.

#### EU Shareholders ‘ Rights Directive, Amended

Another key recent development in the area of corporate governance was the national implementation of the amended EU-Shareholders’ Rights Directive, as well as the new DCGK which entered into force in March 2020.

The amended EU-Shareholders’ Rights Directive addresses several ongoing “hot topics” of corporate governance, including the remuneration of the members of the management board or the managing directors in a one-tier SEs (see **4.10 Approvals and Restrictions Concerning Payments to Directors/Officers**), related party transactions as well as the role and disclosure requirements of intermediaries, institutional investors, asset managers and proxy advisors. The new DCGK introduced new recommendations and suggestions on numerous issues, most notably the remuneration of the members of the management board (aligning the new statutory requirements) and the independence of the members of the supervisory board. Other changes include, for example, a recommendation of a maximum of five supervisory board mandates per individual, and, where an individual is a member of the management board of another listed company, a maximum of two supervisory board mandates.

Also, in the latter case, none of those mandates shall be as the chair of the supervisory board. The new DCGK eliminates the need for a separate corporate governance report and instead calls for information on the corporate governance to be included in the declaration on corporate governance in the management report. The practical effects of the national implementation of

the amended EU-Shareholders' Rights Directive and the new DCGK will be a major talking point in corporate governance practice in the coming years.

## 2.3 Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) Considerations

Under the HGB, companies that meet certain criteria concerning their size are under the duty to issue a non-financial declaration that expands their management report. This declaration has to briefly describe the business model of the company. Moreover, it has to refer to other aspects of corporate social responsibility, at least to environment-related, employee-related and social matters as well as with respect for human rights and fight against corruption and bribery.

Companies with limited liability and employee co-determined supervisory boards have to include in their annual report information on the achievement of their gender diversity targets.

## 2.4 The Impact of COVID-19 on Governance

The federal government has enacted several laws to address the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic. With respect to the corporate governance of AGs, the management board was given a "tool box" of legal measures with which it may seek to mitigate the effects of the pandemic. With the consent of the supervisory board, the management board may choose to:

- postpone the annual general meeting until 31 December 2020;
- hold general meetings as "virtual general meetings", ie, by way of audio and video streaming with the submission of votes either electronically or in written form, even where the articles of association do not provide for such meetings;
- shorten the invitation period for the general meeting; and/or
- grant the shareholders advances on the dividend, even where the articles of association do not foresee such advances.

To lessen the effect of legal errors with respect to the invitation and holding of general meetings where the above stated legal measures were applied, the possibility to appeal resolutions passed in such meetings was limited to intentional misconduct of the management board. The same applies for KGaAs and – with the exception of the first bullet point – for SEs. EU legislation to allow SEs to postpone the annual general meeting until 31 December 2020 was proposed by the EU Commission but has not yet been adopted.

With respect to GmbHs, it is now permissible to pass resolutions of the shareholders' meeting in text form or by submitting written votes without the consent of all shareholders.

## 3. Management of the Company

### 3.1 Bodies or Functions Involved in Governance and Management

#### Management Board

The predominant board structure of an AG and an SE follows the two-tier corporate governance system, with a management board (*Vorstand*) managing and representing the company, and a supervisory board (*Aufsichtsrat*) supervising the management board, in each case accompanied by the third corporate body, the general meeting (*Hauptversammlung*). The management board manages the company under its own responsibility and at its own discretion. It is not subject to any instructions from the supervisory board or the general meeting.

However, the management board is subject to the prior approval of the supervisory board for certain business transactions and measures, either foreseen in the articles of association of the company or by the supervisory board itself – eg, in the rules of procedure for the management board.

#### Administrative Board

A one-tier corporate governance system primarily known in other jurisdictions with one board is only allowed in Germany within an SE. The board is called the administrative board (*Verwaltungsrat*), and consists of executive and non-executive board members. The administrative board is responsible for the management and supervision of all material company matters (*Oberleitung*) as well as the determination of guidelines for the SE's business, and appoints managing directors (*Geschäftsführende Direktoren*), who are responsible for the day-to-day management of the company.

The managing directors may be members of the administrative board if and to the extent that the majority of the members of the administrative board continue to be non-executive. The administrative board is entitled to issue internally binding instructions to the managing directors.

#### General Partner

The peculiarity of a KGaA is that the general partner is responsible for the management. The general partner, being a shareholder of the KGaA, may be one or more natural persons or, more common in practice, a capital company itself – eg, a GmbH, AG or SE. The corporate governance system of such capital company is to be differentiated from the corporate governance of the KGaA.

The corporate governance of the general partner company follows its applicable principle. The KGaA has in any case a supervisory board that is responsible for the supervision of the management, but in case of a capital company as general part-

ner it is responsible for neither the appointment, dismissal and service contracts of the management of the general partner nor for the determination of the financial statements.

The general meeting of an AG, SE and KGaA has no corporate governance powers.

## Managing Directors

A GmbH generally has managing directors (*Geschäftsführer*) and the shareholders' meeting (*Gesellschafterversammlung*), but no statutorily required supervising body. The managing directors are responsible for the management and representation of the company. In principal, they decide autonomously.

However, the shareholders' meeting is – in contrast to the situation in the AG – the supreme decision-making body of the GmbH, and has the authority to issue internally binding instructions to the managing directors. In a GmbH, a voluntary supervisory or advisory board may be implemented. Apart from this, a supervisory board is to be installed only in case of co-determination (see **2.1 Key Corporate Governance Rules and Requirements**).

## 3.2 Decisions Made by Particular Bodies

### Management Board

In an AG and a two-tier system SE, the management board responsible for the management of the company decides upon any and all business transactions and measures within and outside the ordinary course of business under its own responsibility and discretion. However, material measures within and measures outside the ordinary course of business are subject to the prior approval of the supervisory board. For this purpose, applicable law provides that a catalogue containing those approval rights has to be established, either by the general meeting in the articles of association or, alternatively and – in practice – more relevant, by the supervisory board itself in the rules of procedure for the management board, which is an important part of supervising the management board.

Besides the supervision of the management board, the supervisory board is responsible for the appointment and dismissal of the members of the management board, for their service contracts, and for the review and determination of the financial statements.

### Administrative Board

In a one-tier system SE, the administrative board is responsible for fundamental management issues, such as long-term business goals, the organisational structure, and the strategy and general guidelines of the SE, as well as the budgeting, whereas the managing directors are “only” responsible for the day-to-

day management. The administrative board has the authority to issue internally binding instructions to the managing directors.

### General Meeting

Only selected decisions are reserved by law for the general meeting of an AG and an SE. With respect to the annual ordinary general meeting, such decisions include the appropriation of profits, the appointment of the auditor, the formal approval of action for members of both the management board and supervisory board and the vote on the annual remuneration report; with respect to fundamental, extra-ordinary decisions, such decisions include the election and removal of the supervisory board members, amendments to the articles of association, and resolutions on restructuring measures and the sale of substantially all of the corporation's assets, as well as on corporate agreements (profit and loss pooling agreements).

### Managing Directors

Managing directors of a GmbH can principally make day-to-day management decisions without consulting the shareholders. However, as the shareholders' meeting is the supreme body, a broader catalogue of decisions is reserved by law for the shareholders' meeting of a GmbH than a general meeting of an AG: all decisions that the ordinary general meeting of an AG has to take plus the review and determination of the financial statements and all fundamental, extraordinary decisions of the general meeting of an AG, as well as the right to instruct the managing directors.

## 3.3 Decision-Making Processes

### Management Board

The management board of an AG and a two-tier system SE generally decides in physical or electronically set-up meetings, if a certain quorum of – most of the time – more than half of the members of the management board are present or represented, by way of resolution, generally to be passed by a simple majority. However, qualifying majority requirements can be set – eg, in the rules of procedure for the management board. In practice, it is recognised and common that members of the management board are allocated certain individual responsibilities as part of their department (*Ressort*).

Decisions within each department are made by the responsible, single member of the management board, unless such decision is of material nature, in which case a resolution of the management board is necessary. This also applies in case another member of the management board is asking for it. Finally, the management board may form committees for specific tasks, although this is not that common in practice.

More or less the same decision-making process applies to managing directors of a one-tier system SE and a GmbH.

## Supervisory Board

The supervisory board of an AG, a two-tier system SE and a KGaA decides by way of resolution, generally with a simple majority. However, the articles of association or the rules of procedure for the supervisory board may foresee qualifying majority requirements. Supervisory board meetings shall be held as physical meetings from the statutory starting point.

Electronically set-up meetings as well as mixture forms are permissible, except for the meeting preparing the annual general meeting, which must be a physical meeting in the presence of the auditor. Supervisory board members not present in a meeting may not be represented by third persons or other supervisory board members, but can only give a written voting declaration (*Stimmbotschaft*). The meeting has a quorum if the majority of members are present – at least three.

The supervisory board is entitled to form committees from within itself – eg, an audit committee and a nomination committee. Committees are generally responsible for preparing supervisory board topics and consummating resolutions passed by the supervisory board. Sometimes, committees are also entitled to resolve instead of the supervisory board.

However, this is not allowed in statutorily foreseen topics – eg, upon the remuneration and service contracts of members of the management board. Rules applying to the supervisory board in a two-tier system also have to be adhered to by the administrative board in a one-tier system SE.

## 4. Directors and Officers

### 4.1 Board Structure

#### Management Board

There is no legally predefined structure for the management board of an AG or two-tier system SE, nor for the managing directors of a one-tier system SE or GmbH. The management board can consist of one or more natural persons, unless the articles of association require a minimum number of members; the same applies for the number of the managing directors.

#### Supervisory Board

The supervisory board of an AG, KGaA and a two-tier system SE, and the administrative board of a one-tier system SE, has to consist of at least three members or a higher number, up to nine, 15 or 21 members, depending on the registered share capital of the corporation, to be set in the articles of association. The number of members must not be devisable by three (any more), unless in case of co-determination (see **2.1 Key Corporate Governance Rules and Requirements**), in which the number of members must be divisible by three. In case of

equal co-determination, the total number of supervisory board members is 12 and beyond this depends on the total number of German employees.

### 4.2 Roles of Board Members

The applicable law does not predefine roles for members of the managing bodies. One member of the management board can be and usually is nominated as chairman or spokesperson. Apart from this, it is common for the tasks and duties of the management board and managing directors to be divided between them in several departments, either functional or operational divisions. Thereby, names like CEO, CFO and COO are generally addressed to the members on their business cards, the website, and in the email-footer; however, these are neither statutorily foreseen nor do they trigger any special further rights or obligations.

With respect to the supervisory board of an AG and a two-tier system SE or an administrative board of a one-tier system SE, only the following rules have to be considered. Generally, each member has the same rights and duties, and must be familiar with the relevant business sector of the company. However, according to applicable law, boards of listed companies must have one member with certain skills – for example, financing, reporting and auditing expertise.

### 4.3 Board Composition Requirements/ Recommendations

#### Management Board/Managing Directors

Beyond the requirements set out in **4.1 Board Structure** and **4.2 Roles of Board Members**, there are no other statutory rules governing the composition of the management board of an AG or a two-tier system SE, nor of the managing directors of a one-tier system SE or GmbH. However, if such a company is listed on a stock exchange or co-determined, the supervisory board must determine a target percentage for women on the management board as well as deadlines by when such percentage is to be reached. If at the time of the determination the percentage of women on the management board is below 30%, the target percentage may not be lower than the present percentage.

Furthermore, the management board shall make respective determinations with respect to the two management levels below it. These corporations have to include a declaration on corporate governance in their management reports. The new DCGK restates these rules, and recommends that diversity is taken into account.

#### Composition of Supervisory Boards

In AGs, SEs and KGaAs that are parity co-determined and listed on a stock exchange, the supervisory board (or, in the case of a one-tier system SE, the administrative board) shall be composed



of at least 30% women and at least 30% men. The minimum percentage shall be complied with by the shareholder and employee representatives on the board in its entirety. Furthermore, corporations that need to fulfil the aforementioned gender criteria must include information on whether the company has complied with the portion requirements for the appointment of women and men as supervisory board members in their declaration on corporate governance.

With respect to the supervisory board of an AG and a two-tier system SE or an administrative board of a one-tier system SE that is listed on a stock exchange or co-determined, the supervisory board must also set a target for women on the supervisory board. The same rules apply with respect to the determination for the management board. The DCGK recommends, among other matters, that the supervisory board determines concrete objectives regarding its composition and prepares a profile of skill and expertise for the entire board, but taking diversity into account.

It is recommended that both are taken into account for the supervisory board's proposals to the general meeting. The DCGK further recommends that a certain number of members of the supervisory board as well as certain members, eg the chairperson are independent (see **4.5 Rules/Requirements Concerning Independence of Directors**). The implementation status of the objectives and the profile of skill and expertise as well as the number of independent members deemed to be appropriate by the supervisory board are to be included in the corporate governance report.

#### **4.4 Appointment and Removal of Directors/Officers**

In an AG and an SE, the respective supervisory or administrative board is responsible for appointing and generally dismissing the members of the management board or the managing directors. The maximum term of office is five years in an AG and six years in an SE; a reappointment or extension is principally permitted.

The members of the supervisory and administrative board are appointed by the general meeting, for a maximum term of office of approximately five years in an AG and six years in an SE. Reappointment is permitted. Dismissal could happen by resolution of the general meeting with a majority of at least three quarters of the votes cast, unless the articles of association provide otherwise.

The appointment and dismissal of the managing directors of a GmbH is, in principle, the responsibility of the shareholders' meeting. The term of office may be indefinite.

#### **4.5 Rules/Requirements Concerning Independence of Directors Management Board**

The members of the management board of an AG are subject to a duty of loyalty to the company, have to observe the best interests of the company and are bound by a non-compete obligation for the duration of office. They shall disclose conflicts of interest to the supervisory board without undue delay. The DCGK also makes statements to that effect. In certain situations, members of the management board should thus either abstain from casting votes or not even participate in the meeting or the relevant topic.

#### **Supervisory Board**

The members of the supervisory board of an AG and a two-tier system SE and of the administrative board of a one-tier system SE are also bound by a duty of loyalty, but there are no mandatory statutory provisions that require and define independence. However, a few restrictions aiming at independence prohibit an individual from becoming a member of the supervisory or administrative board – eg, where the individual is part of the management of a subsidiary of the company. Nevertheless, the DCGK requires a certain degree of independence to avoid conflicts of interest.

In this respect, the supervisory board shall determine an appropriate number of independent members. The new DCGK gives new indicators for determining the independence of members of the supervisory board. These include personal or business relationships with the company, the management board, controlling shareholders and major competitors that may cause a substantial or not merely temporary conflict of interest.

#### **4.6 Legal Duties of Directors/Officers**

Members of management bodies shall conduct the company's affairs with the due care of a prudent and diligent businessman, in particular in accordance with the applicable laws and the articles of association (duty of legality, including and of ever-increasing importance the duty to establish and maintain an effective compliance management system). In case of entrepreneurial decisions, the so-called business judgement rule applies in order to eliminate hindsight bias when legally evaluating the management bodies' past conduct. This means that members of the management board may be exempt from liability if they reasonably had assumed that they were acting on the basis of adequate information and in the best interests of the company.

The same applies to the members of the supervisory and administrative board. However, their differing tasks and roles in the corporate governance of the respective company lead to a different emphasis of duties.



## 4.7 Responsibility/Accountability of Directors

In principle, members of management and supervising bodies owe their duties primarily to the company; they always have to act in the best interests of the company. However, the interests of the company include, to a certain extent, the interests of all stakeholders (like creditors and employees) of the company (German “stakeholder model” in contrast to the Anglo-Saxon “shareholder model”).

## 4.8 Consequences and Enforcement of Breach of Directors’ Duties

In an AG and SE (with a few exceptions in special statutory rules – eg, in the event of an insolvency, and in the context of wilful misconduct), creditors and shareholders cannot enforce a breach of duties of members of management and supervising bodies. The members of the bodies are rather jointly and severally liable in the internal relationship towards the company due to their joint responsibility. Thus, individual members of a management and supervising body may not alleviate themselves from liability because a certain task or responsibility was delegated to a different member internally.

Furthermore, such breach may lead to a dismissal and, with respect to the management members, a termination of their service contract.

In principle, the supervisory board is responsible and – according to case law – even has a duty to assert damage claims to the management board members. The company may waive its damage claims or enter into settlement arrangements on these claims only if three years have lapsed since the claim arose and the general meeting resolved thereupon without a minority of the shareholders (at least 10% of the share capital) raising an objection.

In the event that members of the supervisory board culpably breach their duties, the management board is responsible to pursue possible damage claims against the supervisory board members jointly and severally.

### Claims Against Members of Corporate Governance

The rights and obligations on asserting claims against members of corporate governance bodies in an AG, SE and KGaA are independent of whether or not the members of these respective bodies have been discharged. Another particular consequence of a breach of duty in a listed company is that the company may be obliged to disclose it to the capital market by way of ad hoc notification.

In case of a GmbH, the consequences of a breach of the duties of managing directors are, to a great extent, comparable to an AG. In general, the managing directors, like the management

board members, are not directly liable to the creditors of the company. The shareholders’ meeting has the right to pursue damage claims and to decide about the dismissal of managing directors and the termination of the service contract.

In contrast to the situation in the AG, if the shareholders’ meeting has discharged the managing director knowing the facts underlying such breach, such discharge leads to an exclusion of liability.

## 4.9 Other Bases for Claims/Enforcement Against Directors/Officers

Certain special law remedies and, in case of wilful misconduct, general civil law remedies exist. From the company’s point of view, these do not generally extend claims any further than those under corporate law. Since shareholders do not have a direct claim against the members of management and supervising bodies under corporate law, in certain situations (eg, capital market fraud) general civil law remedies may give opportunity for claims of shareholders.

However, the courts have traditionally been cautious to recognise such claims.

### Liability

The liability of a member of a management and supervising body in an AG, SE and KGaA cannot be limited, as this would in particular qualify as an impermissible waiver by the company upfront ie, prior to the expiry of the three-year period (see **4.8 Consequences and Enforcement of Breach of Directors’ Duties**). However, D&O insurance for the members of the management and supervising body is permissible and common in practice in order to protect them against risks arising from their professional activities for the company. Premiums are generally paid by the company, although members of the management board of an AG, SE and KGaA are obliged to bear a deduction of at least 10% of the damage to the utmost one-and-a-half times their annual fixed salary.

## 4.10 Approvals and Restrictions Concerning Payments to Directors/Officers

The remuneration of the management board members of an AG and a two-tier system SE is resolved upon by the supervisory board and contractually agreed upon in the service contract.

In listed companies the supervisory board has to determine the principles of the remuneration of the members of the management board in a remuneration system, which is subject to approval by the general meeting upon its introduction and any material changes thereto, however, at least every four years. However, the resolution on the approval is non-binding. If the general meeting does not approve the remuneration system, a

reviewed remuneration system has to be presented at the next annual general meeting for approval.

With respect to the contents of the remuneration system, the AktG only requires few elements to be included in every remuneration system (eg, a maximum total remuneration of the management board) but provides for further rules with respect to its contents relating to different aspects of the remuneration of the management board if those aspects are foreseen in the remuneration. However, the new DCGK makes several recommendations with respect to aspects to be described in the remuneration system, eg, the ratio between the fix remuneration and the variable remuneration based on short- and long-term incentives as well as the performance and non-performance indicators to determine payment of variable remuneration.

The supervisory board then determines the actual remuneration of each member of the management board based on the remuneration system. The supervisory board and the management board have to prepare a remuneration report regarding the past financial year which is subject to a non-binding approval by the annual general meeting. Neither the resolution on the remuneration system nor the resolution on the remuneration report can be objected to by means of a contesting action or an action for annulment by a shareholder.

As regards restrictions on the remuneration of the members of the management board, the AktG requires that the overall remuneration of individual members of the management board is appropriate in relation to their tasks and performance as well as the economic situation of the company. In addition, the supervisory board shall make sure that the customary remuneration is not exceeded. Further, the remuneration in listed companies has to be aimed at a sustainable and long-term-oriented development of the company and variable remuneration should be granted based long-term incentives accordingly.

The new DCGK makes further recommendations with respect to the characteristics of the remuneration. For example, it recommends that the variable remuneration based on long-term incentives exceeds the one based on short-term incentives. Variable remuneration shall be predominantly invested in shares of the company or granted as share-based remuneration.

The DCGK further recommends that payments to members of the management board due to early termination of their activity do not exceed twice the annual remuneration (severance cap) and do not constitute remuneration for more than the remaining term of the contract. A new recommendation is that change-of-control clauses are not agreed upon.

## Management Board

The remuneration of the supervisory board members may be specified in the articles of association or granted by the general meeting. It should be appropriate in relation to the tasks of the members of the supervisory board and the company's economic situation. In listed companies, the general meeting has to resolve on the remuneration of the supervisory board members at least every four years, with the resolution including or referencing the same details that are to be included in the remuneration system of the management board with respect to the remuneration of the supervisory board members, if applicable. The DCGK further recommends taking the status as chair or deputy chair of the supervisory board or committee into consideration in this context. It is suggested that the supervisory board remuneration is a fixed remuneration.

## Managing Directors and General Partners

In a GmbH, the remuneration of managing directors is the responsibility of the shareholders' meeting, which must not adhere to any restricting rules.

In a KGaA, the general partners generally receive no remuneration for their activities, but are entitled to receive a fee for taking over the liability of the KGaA vis-à-vis third parties. In case of a capital company as general partner, the remuneration of its management members is to be set according to the rules applying to the respective legal form of such capital company.

## 4.11 Disclosure of Payments to Directors/Officers

All capital companies are required to disclose the total remuneration of the management board in the annual financial statements. An exception is made only for capital companies that fulfil at least two of the following criteria (small capital companies):

- the balance sheet total does not exceed EUR6 million;
- the sales revenues within the last 12 months amount to less than EUR120 million; and
- the company employs, on an annual average, fewer than 50 employees.

In a listed company, the features of the remuneration system have to be described (see **4.10 Approvals and Restrictions Concerning Payments to Directors/Officers**). The remuneration system has to be published on the company's website for the duration of the application of the remuneration system, however, at least for ten years. In addition, the management board and the supervisory board of a listed company have to disclose certain information, such as the fixed and variable remuneration paid to each member of the management and the supervisory board, in the annual remuneration report.

The remuneration report is also published on the company's website for at least ten years. The AktG requires the remuneration report to be audited.

The AktG now also requires ad-hoc and annual disclosure of related party transactions, including transactions of the company with its various members of corporate bodies.

## 5. Shareholders

### 5.1 Relationship Between Companies and Shareholders

The purpose of the company is determined by its shareholders in the articles of association. The shareholders can only exert influence on the decision-making process by way of resolutions. The general meeting of an AG, SE and KGaA has fewer rights and powers than the shareholders' meeting of a GmbH, in particular due to their ability to instruct the managing directors (see **3.2 Decisions Made by Particular Bodies**).

Furthermore, the shareholders have fiduciary duties towards the company and the other shareholders, and so have to promote the purpose of the company and may not act to its detriment.

### 5.2 Role of Shareholders in Company Management

The involvement of the shareholders in the management of a company differentiates according to the legal form of the company.

#### AGs, SEs and KGaAs

In an AG, SE and KGaA, the general meeting is entitled to appoint the members of the supervisory and administrative board, generally by simple majority, and to dismiss them by 75% of the share capital represented. However, the members of the management board and the managing directors in a one-tier system SE are appointed by the supervisory board, respectively the administrative board. The general meeting cannot instruct the supervisory or administrative board or the management board.

If the management board so requires, the general meeting is entitled to resolve upon management affairs. In practice, such requests do not happen. Apart from this, the general meeting does not have any influence on the management.

#### Listed Companies

Listed companies also do not engage with their shareholders, in particular not outside the general meetings. In preparing such meetings, the CEO has calls with shareholder representatives and potential proxy voters, but abstains from providing them

with any information that has not already been disclosed in the invitation or that the CEO does not intend to disclose in the general meeting to all other shareholders. However, the new DCGK suggests that the chairman of the supervisory board should, to an appropriate extent, be in regular conversation with investors on supervisory board-related issues.

#### Non-listed Companies

Vice versa, non-listed companies typically do engage with their shareholders.

#### GmbH

In a GmbH, the involvement of the shareholders in the management is also statutorily more extensive. In contrast to the AG, the shareholders' meeting resolves upon the appointment and dismissal of the managing directors and on the conclusion of their service agreements. Also, the shareholders of the GmbH are able to direct the managing directors to take or refrain from taking certain actions in the business by way of internally binding instruction.

### 5.3 Shareholder Meetings

#### Annual General Meetings

An annual general meeting is mandatory in an AG and KGaA within the first eight months of a financial year, and in an SE within the first six months of a financial year. The annual meeting has to resolve upon the ordinary topics (see **3.2 Decisions Made by Particular Bodies**) and upon the remuneration system, the latter resolution being non-binding (see **4.10 Approvals and Restrictions Concerning Payments to Directors/Officers**). Further extraordinary topics on fundamental decision can also be put on the agenda of the annual general meeting, or can be passed in an extraordinary general meeting.

Apart from this, general meetings are to be convened if necessary for the welfare and going concern of the company. The general meeting has to be convened no later than 30 days prior to the date of the general meeting, or no later than 36 days prior to the meeting if shareholders are required to register for the general meeting. In an AG and a two-tier system SE, the convening is generally the obligation of the management board, or exceptionally the supervisory board.

Within a one-tier system SE, the administrative board is responsible for the convening. However, shareholders whose share is equivalent to at least 5% of the registered share capital may also demand the convening of a general meeting. Shareholders whose share in the share capital is that high or corresponds to a nominal stake of EUR 500,000 may demand that certain additional items are put on the agenda.

The demand has to be received by the company at the latest 24 days prior to the general meeting, or no later than 30 days prior to the general meeting for listed companies.

For temporary changes to the above stated rules in response to the current COVID-19 pandemic see **2.5 The Impact of COVID-19 on Governance**.

### Annual General Meeting Invitation

The invitation has to fulfil a lot of formalities, like setting out the business name and seat of the company, the time and place of the general meeting, and the agenda. For listed companies, the invitation has to provide further information – eg, about the rights of the shareholders in respect to the general meeting.

### Votes and Resolutions

Unless stipulated otherwise in the articles of association, the general meeting should be held at the seat of the company. Resolutions may not be taken by written consent, but the articles may provide that shareholders may cast votes in written form. Shareholders may be represented by a proxy/proxy voter at the general meeting, or may exercise their rights via electronic communication; the latter option is only available if the articles of association allow this form of attendance and voting.

In listed companies, each resolution adopted by the general meeting is to be recorded in the minutes of the meeting prepared by a notary public. For non-listed companies, it is sufficient to have the minutes signed by the chairman of the supervisory board as long as no resolutions are adopted for which applicable law requires a majority of 75% of the votes cast or a greater majority.

### GmbHs

In a GmbH, the regulations in respect to the shareholders' meeting are not as strict as in the AktG for AGs, SEs and KGaAs. Resolutions generally have to be passed in a meeting of the shareholders, but can also be made in writing. The shareholders' meeting generally has to be convened by the managing directors by registered letter.

In case of a meeting, the invitation has to be sent at least one week before the meeting, and the agenda of the shareholders' meeting has to be announced in the invitation. However, these formalities on the invitation can be waived or amended in the articles of association.

There are no special requirements for the holding and conduct of shareholders' meetings. Shareholders may submit their vote in writing or may grant proxy. It is also permissible to hold meetings via electronic communication.

### 5.4 Shareholder Claims

Shareholders generally do not have any direct claims against members of corporate governance bodies (see **4.8 Consequences and Enforcement of Breach of Directors' Duties** and **4.9 Other Bases for Claims/Enforcement Against Directors/Officers**).

#### Appealing Resolutions

Any shareholder who holds only "one" share may appeal resolutions (*Anfechtungs- und Nichtigkeitsklage*) of the general or shareholders' meeting for breach of law or the company's articles of association. Another objection shareholders can try to bring forward in such lawsuits is the violation of the (majority) shareholder's duty of good faith. As these duties are not statutorily defined, the chances of success are based on case law. The defendant is the company, not the other shareholder(s) who has (have) voted in favour.

By filing such objection and voidance claims in court, minority shareholders can block the completion (ie, entry into the commercial register) of, for example, corporate and integration measures. Registration will take place when the minority shareholders' court challenges are overcome by a so-called release proceeding, which the company must file (*Freigabeverfahren*). The company will in particular prevail in the release proceeding and thereby achieve registration in the commercial register if minority shareholders cannot prove that they hold more than a nominal value of EUR1,000 of the registered share capital of the company since the announcement of the convocation of the general meeting.

If in the context of a resolution the company or a majority shareholder has to offer to acquire shares of minority shareholders at fair value based on an IDW S1-valuation, those resolutions cannot be objected (any more) to with the argument that the valuation is too low. However, minority shareholders are entitled to challenge the adequacy of the price at court in a special shareholder compensation proceeding (*Spruchverfahren*).

#### Appointing a Special Auditor

Also, shareholders can request (by demanding either an invitation of an extraordinary general meeting or the adding of a topic on the agenda – see **5.2 Role of Shareholders in Company Management**) that the general meeting shall – with a simple majority of the votes cast – appoint a special auditor (*Sonderprüfer*) to analyse statutorily specified decisions of the executive and supervisory board. If the general meeting rejects the motion to appoint a special auditor, and if facts and circumstances justify severe breaches of tasks and duties by the management, minority shareholders who together hold 1% of the registered share capital or a nominal value of at least EUR100,000 can file for the appointment of the special auditor in court.

## Damage Claims

Also, minority shareholders may influence the assertion of damage claims against management and supervisory board members following breaches of tasks and duties if, in a first instance, the general meeting resolves with a simple majority to assert such claims. Minority shareholders who together hold 10% of the registered capital or a nominal value of at least EUR1 million can then judicially file for the appointment of a special representative (*besonderer Vertreter*) to assert these claims. Minority shareholders who together hold 1% of the registered share capital or a nominal value of EUR100,000 or more can also apply in court for admission to assert these claims of the company in their own name.

## 5.5 Disclosure by Shareholders in Publicly Traded Companies

Shareholders of listed companies have to notify the Federal Financial Supervisory Authority (*Bundesanstalt für Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht* – BaFin) and the issuer if their direct and/or indirect holdings exceed or fall below certain thresholds (3%, 5%, 10%, 15%, 20%, 25%, 30%, 50%, 75%) and if their positions in financial instruments relating to shares exceed or fall below the aforementioned thresholds (except for the 3% threshold). The notification is to be published by the issuer and can be viewed on its website at any time.

## 6. Corporate Reporting and Other Disclosures

### 6.1 Financial Reporting

Except for small partnerships, companies have to prepare an annual financial statement. Capital companies additionally have to prepare a management report, unless the company is a small company (based on the criteria set out in **4.11 Disclosure of Payments to Directors/Officers**). The annual financial statements and the management report differ in that the annual financial statements are primarily for presentation purposes, whereas the management report is more of an analysis and commentary.

The management report includes information on the risk profile of the company and its risk management system. For large listed companies, the HGB requires a declaration on corporate governance and a non-financial declaration including statements on environmental, social and labour-related concerns, among other matters.

In addition to preparing the annual financial statements and the management report, listed companies are also required to prepare and publish a half-year report. Some stock exchanges

may require further reporting with respect to a certain market segment.

Certain industry sectors – for example, banks and other financial institutions – are subject to further reporting requirements.

### 6.2 Disclosure of Corporate Governance Arrangements

The declaration on corporate governance includes information on how the management board and the supervisory board conducted their duties, and also has to address other issues, such as whether quotas for female members of the management and supervisory board have been met, and whether or not the company has a diversity concept (see **4.3 Board Composition Requirements/Recommendations**). Furthermore, listed companies have to publicly declare each year whether they comply with the DCGK (see **1.3 Corporate Governance Requirements for Companies with Publicly Traded Shares**). The declaration is part of the declaration on corporate governance.

As described, the remuneration system as well as the remuneration report have to be published on the company's website for at least ten years. Further, the principal features of the management remuneration system and the remuneration of the management board and the supervisory board have to be disclosed in the annual financial statement and in the management report thereto.

The annual financial statement also has to include information on related party transactions that were not at arm's length. Certain related party transactions also have to be disclosed on an ad-hoc basis.

### 6.3 Companies Registry Filings

A company has to file the following with the commercial register (*Handelsregister*):

- the articles of association, including the company's business name and legal form, registered seat, purpose of the enterprise and registered share capital;
- the names of the legal representatives, their place of residence and dates of birth;
- if existent, the name and place of residence of authorised officers (*"Prokurist"*);
- in an AG and SE, a list of supervisory and administrative board members;
- in a GmbH, a list of shareholders; and
- subsequent amendments to the above-mentioned points.

Those filings are publicly available at [www.handelsregister.de](http://www.handelsregister.de), which contains all entries in the commercial register filed since 2007.

## 7. Audit, Risk and Internal Controls

### 7.1 Appointment of External Auditors

A company has to appoint an external auditor unless it is a small company (based on the criteria set out in **4.11 Disclosure of Payments to Directors/Officers**). The key requirements governing the relationship between the company and the auditor are set out in the HGB. The auditor is appointed by the general or shareholders' meeting. In an AG and two-tier system SE, the supervisory board is responsible for issuing the actual audit mandate, while in a one-tier system SE it is the administrative board and in a GmbH it is the managing directors.

### 7.2 Requirements for Directors Concerning Management Risk and Internal Controls

In an AG, SE and a KGaA, the management board must install a system to detect and monitor risks to the continued existence of the company. However, it is best practice to maintain several systems and refined rules (for example, through reporting lines and codes of conduct) to ensure internal compliance and effective risk management. The supervisory board will review the existence and effectiveness of such measures.

According to German case law, effective compliance management systems are also required in order to fulfil the duty of care owed to the company.

**P+P Pöllath + Partners Rechtsanwälte und Steuerberater mbB** is an internationally operating German law firm. More than 140 lawyers and tax advisers in Berlin, Frankfurt and Munich provide high-end legal and tax advice. The firm advises on all transaction-related areas, including corporate, M&A, private equity, funds, real estate, private clients, succession planning and tax-related matters. P+P's corporate advice includes corporate law and group company law, capital market rules, corporate litigation, reorganisations and compliance.

P+P advises publicly listed and private companies on preparing and conducting their general and shareholder meetings on all matters, including mergers, spin-offs and hive-downs, conversions of legal form; and on all corporate advisory matters related to corporate governance. A further core area is public takeovers with subsequent corporate integration. Key clients include Deutsche Telekom AG, shareholders of Porsche Automobil Holding SE, PUMA SE, Wacker Neuson SE, Giesecke & Devrient, Nemetschek SE, CEWE COLOR and KME Group.

## Authors



**Eva Nase** is a partner at P+P Pöllath + Partners in Munich. She specialises in legal advice for domestic and foreign institutional and private investors, listed and private corporations and board members, in all corporate advisory and capital market matters, public takeovers

and private transactions, restructurings and corporate litigation. Her clients include national and international corporations, private equity companies and private clients. Since 2001 she has practised corporate and capital market law, as well as M&A/private equity, including five years in a leading international law firm. Eva is considered a leading expert in her field.



**Christoph-Alexander May** is a senior associate at P+P Pöllath + Partners in Munich. He specialises in corporate law, including advice on the corporate governance of listed and private corporations, boardroom advice and restructuring measures as well as public

takeovers, capital markets advice and corporate litigation. Christoph joined P+P in 2017 and completed his legal traineeship in Heidelberg, Munich and Toronto/Canada. He studied law at the Universities of Heidelberg and Melbourne/Australia.

---

## P+P Pöllath + Partners Rechtsanwälte und Steuerberater mbB

Hofstatt 1  
Munich, 80331  
Germany

Tel: +49 89 24240-280  
Fax: +49 89 24240-999  
Email: [eva.nase@pplaw.com](mailto:eva.nase@pplaw.com)  
Web: [www.pplaw.com](http://www.pplaw.com)

**P+P Pöllath + Partners**  
Attorneys-at-Law | Tax Advisors

